

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

METROPOLITAN LIFE INS. CO.,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 24-cv-10474

v.

HON. MARK A. GOLDSMITH

MAVERICK BOLEN et al.,

Defendants.

/

OPINION & ORDER

**(1) ADOPTING THE RECOMMENDATION CONTAINED IN THE MAGISTRATE
JUDGE’S SEPTEMBER 18, 2024 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION (Dkt. 23), (2)
GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR
DEFAULT JUDGMENT (Dkt. 17), AND SETTING ASIDE ENTRY OF DEFAULT OF
DEFENDANT MAVERICK BOLEN (Dkt. 15)**

This matter is presently before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (R&R) of Magistrate Judge Curtis Ivy, Jr. issued on September 18, 2024 (Dkt. 23). In the R&R, the magistrate judge recommends that the Court grant in part and deny in part Plaintiff Metropolitan Life Insurance Co.’s motion for default judgment (Dkt. 17).

The parties have not filed objections to the R&R, and the time to do so has expired. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). The failure to file a timely objection to an R&R constitutes a waiver of the right to further judicial review. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985) (“It does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate’s factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings.”); Smith v. Detroit Fed’n of Teachers, 829 F.2d 1370, 1373-1374 (6th Cir. 1987) (failure to file objection to R&R “waived subsequent review of the matter”); Cephas v. Nash, 328 F.3d 98, 108 (2d Cir. 2003) (“As a rule, a party’s failure to object to any purported error or

